

INDEX A



INSPECTOR GENERAL
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
DEFENSE CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE
MID-ATLANTIC FIELD OFFICE
201 12TH STREET, SUITE 712
ARLINGTON, VA 22202-4306

(Investigations)

REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

200301311B-04-AUG-2003-60DC [b] (7)(E)

August 31, 2004

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

DISTRIBUTION

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
AFOSI (SA) [b]
SAF/IG (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) USAF SJA
USAO/EDVA (AUSA) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
FBI (SA) [b]

58A-WF-229756/9

b6 per FBI
b7C

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	SECTION
Synopsis	A
Statutes	A
Background	B
Narrative	B
Identity of Subjects	C
Law Enforcement Records	D
Evidence	E
Status of Investigation	F
Prosecutive Considerations	F
Exhibits	G

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

SYNOPSIS

This investigation was initiated based on information received by DCIS, Baghdad Post of Duty, Baghdad, Iraq, concerning possible conflict of interest violations involving (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) U.S. Air Force (USAF), Coalition Provisional Authority (CPA), Baghdad, Iraq.

From March to July 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was assigned as the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of the Office of Reconstruction and Humanitarian Affairs (ORHA), Baghdad, Iraq. ORHA had initial responsibility for the reconstruction of post-war Iraq following the U.S. invasion. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) By virtue of her position within the CPA and her direct connection to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was privy to the administrative workings of ORHA (later replaced by the CPA) and had access to high-level decision makers.

The investigation revealed that between approximately March 2003 and November 2003, while acting in her official capacity as an (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of the USAF assigned to the ORHA and the CPA, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) entered into a business and financial relationship with Meteoric Tactical Solutions, Pretoria, South Africa (MTS-PTY), a Department of Defense (DoD) contractor that provided close personal security for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also engaged in a personal relationship with a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of MTS-PTY. Contemporaneous to entering into a business relationship with MTS-PTY and a personal relationship with a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of MTS-PTY, and while acting in her official capacity as a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) assigned to the CPA and as a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) assigned to the Pentagon, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) engaged in the following activities rising to a conflict of interest; specifically, acts affecting a personal financial interest:

- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) agreed to become an employee, shareholder and member of the Board of Directors for MTS-PTY in return for services she provided to MTS-PTY and official acts she performed to assist MTS-PTY in various ways.
- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) formed and operated a U.S.-based arm of MTS-PTY called Meteoric Tactical Solutions-USA, Ltd. (MTS-USA) based from her (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and used a bank account for this company to accept a payment from MTS-PTY for her services.
- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) participated in multiple MTS-PTY Board of Directors meetings held in Baghdad, Iraq and participated in or had input into management decisions affecting all aspects of the company, including ownership, employee salaries, and solicitation of new business.
- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) lobbied various CPA and DoD officials in Iraq and the United States, including (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to Donald Rumsfeld, Pentagon, to side with MTS-PTY in a payment dispute with another DoD contractor. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

A-1

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

(b) (7)(E)

August 31, 2004

ultimately helped persuade several Government officials, including (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to pay MTS-PTY for work they performed without a DoD contract.

- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authored an internal CPA document requesting award of a sole source contract to MTS-PTY to provide security services to the CPA for \$600,000, which was later awarded as firm-fixed price contract SKW01A-03-C-0002 (0002). (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) arranged a meeting of the CPA Requirements Review Board (RRB) to review and authorize funding for this contract and used her knowledge of the approved funding level author a MTS-PTY technical and cost proposal at just under this amount.
- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) asked a U.S. military officer at the CPA with connections to the White House to assist her in obtaining visas and any other necessary authorizations to allow employees of MTS-PTY to gain entry into the United States, purportedly to attend a Board of Directors meeting for the newly founded MTS-USA in September 2003.
- From approximately June to August 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) handled a large portion of MTS-PTY's business in Iraq, including writing virtually all of its proposals, invoices and correspondence ultimately submitted to the CPA. During this period, while assigned to the CPA, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) spent the majority of her time conducting the affairs of MTS-PTY.
- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) participated in at least three meetings and sent several letters and emails to various CPA agencies to solicit business on behalf of MTS-PTY.
- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) received money from MTS-PTY as her agreed upon salary as a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of MTS-PTY. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also used her DoD Government travel card to obtain three cash advances totaling \$1,302, which she subsequently gave to MTS-PTY for operating expenses.

The U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria, VA, and the Office of Staff Judge Advocate, U.S. Air Force, Bolling Air Force Base, DC have been briefed and have indicated prosecutive interest in this case.

STATUTES

The following violations of the United States Code apply to this investigation:

18 USC 208 (Acts affecting a personal financial interest)

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

BACKGROUND

1. On or about March 17, 2003, eight South African nationals arrived in Kuwait to work as subcontractors to Global Risk Associates (Global), Mayfair, England, to provide security for individuals conducting demining operations in Iraq on a CPA contract. The South Africans decided to form a security services company called Meteoric Tactical Solutions and incorporated in Pretoria, South Africa. The (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] 0.

After arriving in Kuwait, Global requested MTS-PTY employees provide close protection security to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of ORHA, instead of providing security for demining operations. MTS-PTY agreed and subsequently entered into a subcontract with Global to provide close protection security to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for the period March 18, 2003, to May 18, 2003. When the contract expired on May 18, 2003, MTS-PTY continued to provide close protection security to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) without a contact until June 1, 2003, at the request of (b) (6).

2. On or about March 17, 2003 (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and other personnel arrived in Kuwait to begin assignments for ORHA, which was scheduled to stand up in Baghdad in the near future. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was assigned to ORHA as (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), and accompanied (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to Kuwait and eventually into Baghdad in late April 2003. Before leaving Kuwait, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) formed a friendship with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), MTS-PTY. Sometime between mid-March 2003 and early June 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) agreed to become a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of MTS-PTY with equal ownership of the company. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also agreed to form and operate a U.S.-based arm of MTS-PTY with the same Board of Directors as MTS-PTY.

3. From approximately May 2003 through August 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is a part of MTS-PTY and begins conducting the business of the company while assigned to the CPA. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) repeatedly pressures (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), CPA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Baghdad, Iraq, to make a payment to MTS-PTY for the period May 19, 2003 to June 1, 2003, in which MTS-PTY provided security to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) without a contract. After (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) denies (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) requests to make a payment to MTS-PTY, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) uses her official position at the CPA and Pentagon to persuade CPA and DoD officials in Iraq and in the United States to make the payment, recommending MTS-PTY be paid from funds designated for payment to Global. During this time, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sends an email to her MTS-PTY (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) indicating that she has "energized senior leadership" in an attempt to get them paid.

4. Simultaneous with her attempts to have MTS-PTY paid, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors numerous proposals, invoices, correspondence and other MTS-PTY documents while continuing to operate the company in Iraq, with the primary objective of securing more work for MTS-PTY from the CPA. One of the documents authored by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is an internal CPA request to award a sole-source, firm-fixed price contract to MTS-PTY for security services and training to the CPA for \$600,000. The request is presented at a special meeting of the CPA Requirements Review Board (RRB), a group of CPA officials designated to review and approve all internal CPA requests for project and/or contract funding. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) does not use her own name on the request. After the RRB approves (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) request for \$600,000, the CPA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) solicits a second proposal from Global for a competitive award. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is aware of DoD approval of the

CLASSIFICATION:

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

WARNING

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

contract and knows the approved funding level since she personally authored the request. Using this information, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a proposal for MTS-PTY to the CPA for \$599,383, just under the approved funding level. Global's proposal is for \$927,400. On June 5, 2003, MTS-PTY is awarded contract SKW01A-03-C-0002 (0002) for \$599,383.

5. After steering contract 0002 to MTS-PTY, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) attends her first MTS-PTY Board of Directors meeting in Baghdad, Iraq on June 13, 2003. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) begins personally handling or participating in virtually all administrative aspects of the company in Iraq, including soliciting new business, developing company promotional documentation and writing proposals, invoices and correspondence. In late July or early August 2003, MTS-PTY agrees to pay (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) a monthly salary of \$12,000 as a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of MTS-PTY and repay her for expenses she incurs in incorporating the new U.S.-based arm of MTS-PTY. Several witnesses stated that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) spent almost all of her time working in the offices of MTS-PTY as opposed to her assigned duty locations inside CPA headquarters. [b7C] received a payment of \$15,970 from MTS-PTY on September 23, 2003, which is believed to include her \$12,000 monthly (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) salary.

6. Investigative activity has included interviews of persons with knowledge of the operation of the CPA as well as (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) duties and activities in Iraq and in the United States, interviews of various other military and government employees and CPA officials, receipt and analysis of bank and telephone records, government records, email, computer files and CPA contract documents and official correspondence. The following is a list of significant activity completed during this investigation:

This investigation was initiated based on information received on July 25, 2003, from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) concerning possible conflict of interest violations involving (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and MTS-PTY. Exhibit 8 is the DCIS Form1 Case Initiation providing details of the receipt of information from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and Exhibits 4, 5, 20, 22, and 42 provide details of additional interviews and information received from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

On August 3, 2003, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [b7C], MTS-PTY. Exhibit 7 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of [b7C] interview.

On August 3 and August 20, 2003, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [b7C] U.S. Army, CPA. Exhibits 9, 10, and 23 are DCIS Form1s providing details of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interviews.

On August 4, 2003, DCIS seized three laptop computers assigned to MTS-PTY employees from room [b6, b7C] CPA headquarters, Baghdad, Iraq. Exhibit 11 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the seizure of these computers and Exhibits 51, 52, and 53 are DCIS Form1s providing details of the review of files and email recovered from these computers.

On August 5, 2003, DCIS seized and reviewed documents found on a CPA laptop computer assigned to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) from room S203, CPA headquarters, Baghdad, Iraq. Exhibit 12 is a DCIS Form1 providing details concerning the seizure of this computer and recovery of two documents from this computer.

On August 7, 2003, DCIS received information from Special Agents (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [b7C], U.S. Army Criminal Investigations Command, Baghdad, Iraq.

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

Exhibit 13 is a DCIS Form1 providing details concerning information received from [REDACTED] and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

On August 7, 2003, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED], CPA, Baghdad, Iraq. Exhibit 14 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the [REDACTED] interview.

On August 9, 2003, DCIS interviewed MTS-PTY employees (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

[REDACTED] Exhibits 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19 are DCIS Form1s providing details of these interviews.

On August 12, 2003, and July 22, 2004, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED], Program Review Board, CPA, Baghdad, Iraq. Exhibits 21 and 39 are DCIS Form1s providing details of the [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interviews.

On August 13, 2003, this investigation was briefed to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] Eastern District of Virginia, who accepted this matter for prosecution. This investigation has been assigned to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED], Eastern District of Virginia. This investigation has also been briefed to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), U.S. Air Force, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) 11th Wing, Bolling Air Force Base, Washington, D.C. The U.S. Air Force Office of Special Investigations and the Federal Bureau of Investigation have been briefed on this matter and have joined the investigation.

On August 22, 2003, DCIS re-interviewed [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 24 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On August 22, 2003, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED], (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] Defense Contract Management Agency, Alexandria, VA. Exhibit 26 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On August 22, 2003, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED], Defense Contract Management Agency, Alexandria, VA. Exhibit 27 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On September 1, 2003, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] to the Ministry of Interior, CPA, Baghdad, Iraq. Exhibit 28 is a DCIS Form1 providing details (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On September 2, 2003, DCIS agents arrested and deported [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) from Iraq based on his official false statements to DCIS agents during this investigation and his attempts to obstruct this investigation. Exhibit 29 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the arrest and deportation of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED]

On September 5, 2003, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] Office of General Counsel, Office of the Secretary of Defense. Exhibit 30 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On September 17, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) provided DCIS letters written by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED]. Exhibit 31 is a DCIS Form1 providing copies of these letters.

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

On October 10, 2003, DCIS received incorporation letters for MTS-USA from the State of Delaware. Exhibit 32 is a DCIS Form1 providing the MTS-PTY incorporation documents.

On October 30, 2003, DCIS completed Forensic Media Analysis on a computer seized from MTS-PTY on August 4, 2003, identified as a Compaq Evo N800v, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Exhibit 25 is the Forensic Media Analysis Report and several pertinent emails recovered during the analysis.

On January 20, 2004, and March 25, 2004, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), MTS-PTY. Exhibits 33 and 36 are DCIS Form1s providing details of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interviews.

On January 28, 2004, DCIS and the U.S. Air Force Office of Special Investigations attempted to interview (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), who declined. Exhibit 34 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the attempt to interview (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

On February 24, 2004, Dover Delaware Incorporators, LLC, Dover, Delaware provided DCIS incorporation documents for MTS-USA pursuant to a Grand Jury subpoena. Exhibit 44 is the pertinent information provided by Dover Delaware Incorporators.

On March 18, 2004, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Office of General Counsel, Office of the Secretary of Defense. Exhibit 35 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On July 19, 2004, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), employee of Kroll, Inc., London, England. Exhibit 37 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On July 23, 2004, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Civilian Police Programs, U.S. Department of State, Washington, D.C. Exhibit 39 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On July 27, 2004, DCIS re-interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Exhibit 40 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On August 3, 2004, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ORHA, Baghdad, Iraq. Exhibit 41 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On August 5, 2004, DCIS interviewed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA, Baghdad, Iraq. Exhibit 43 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interview.

On August 26, 2004, DCIS reviewed email located in (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA email account. Exhibit 50 is a DCIS Form1 providing details of the review of these emails.

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

NARRATIVE

The following timeline of events has been established based on evidence obtained during the course of this investigation:

- In late February 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) accepts an offer from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to be his (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in Baghdad, Iraq. (See Exhibit 43 – Interview of Col. Murphy).
- On approximately March 17, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and employees of MTS-PTY arrive in Kuwait. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) accompanies (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). (See Exhibits 21 and 38 – Interviews of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 41 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 43 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- In mid-March 2003, MTS-USA, the U.S.-based arm of MTS-PTY started by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), begins operating from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) residence in (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). (See Exhibit 47 – Documents obtained from MTS-USA, "Organizational Resolutions Adopted by Director of Meteoric Tactical Solutions – USA, Ltd." Page 4 of 5).
- On or about April 30, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), MTS-PTY and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) arrive in Baghdad, Iraq (See Exhibits 21 and 38 – Interviews of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 41 – Interview of General Adams).
- In late May 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CPA, to assist her in obtaining visas and other necessary authorizations permitting employees of MTS-PTY to enter the United States. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) works for White House in the Office of General Counsel. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) agrees to help (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) but does nothing because he believes it would be unethical and inappropriate to do so, since MTS-PTY is a CPA contractor. In July 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sends letters inviting each MTS-PTY (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to attend a MTS-USA meeting of the Board of Directors in September 2003 and it is believed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was attempting to obtain authorization for the other directors of MTS-PTY to enter the U.S. to attend this meeting. (See Exhibit 43 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 51, Attachment 1-F)
- In late May 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) requests information from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on the process to award a CPA sole source contract to MTS-PTY. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) pressures (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on multiple occasions to award a sole source contract to MTS-PTY to provide security and training to the Senior Advisor to the Ministry of Interior, CPA, telling (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that MTS-PTY can do the work for \$600,000. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for information on how a sole source contract can be awarded by the CPA. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) refuses to award MTS-PTY a contract and tells (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that he does not have the authority (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that a funding request must first be submitted to the RRB by a CPA Ministry and must obtain approval before any contracts can be awarded. (See Exhibits 22, 42 – Interviews of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 43 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- On May 24, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) informs (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that MTS-PTY has been providing protection to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) without a written contract since May 18, 2003 (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

asks (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for a copy of a sample Statement of Work. (See Exhibit 4 – Email from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) et al; Exhibits 22, 42 – Interviews (b) (6), (b) (7)(C))

- On May 24, 2003, the RRB holds a special meeting at the CPA, days before (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) leaves Iraq and is replaced by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). The RRB reviews and approves a request from the CPA Ministry of Interior presented by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Ministry (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to fund a sole source contract for \$600,000 to MTS-PTY for security and training to the Ministry of Interior (Contract 0002). A Statement of Work is attached to the request and provides the requirements for contract 0002. The Statement of Work is attributed to [REDACTED] Ministry of Interior, but is actually created by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) denied authoring the document. The RRB approves the contract funding, which is then forwarded to the Comptroller, Office of the Secretary of Defense, for final approval. The Comptroller approves the request for \$600,000 on or about June 3, 2003. (See Exhibit 1 – Ministry of Interior Funding Request; Exhibit 2 – May 24, 2003 RRB minutes; Exhibits 22, 43 – Interviews of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 39 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 41 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 43 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachments 1-B, 2-A; Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachments 4, 6).
- In early June 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) contacts (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) several times. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) tells (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] has been pressuring him to award a sole source contract to MTS-PTY to provide security to the Ministry of Interior. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] to trust his own judgment and to do the right thing. (See Exhibits 22, 42 – Interviews of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 43 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- On June 3, 2003, the Office of the Comptroller, Office of the Secretary of Defense approves \$600,000 in funding for contract 0002. [REDACTED] learns of the approval from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). (See Exhibit 42 – Contact with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- On or about June 3, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a MTS-PTY proposal for \$599,383 for contract 0002. This proposal is provided to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) by MTS-PTY. (See Exhibit 3 – CPA Contract SKW01A-03-C-0002; Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-C; Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachment 4).
- On or about June 3, 2003, Global provides a proposal to [REDACTED] for \$927,400 in for contract 0002. (See Exhibit 3 – CPA Contract 0003).
- On June 3, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) awards firm-fixed price contract 0002 to MTS-PTY for \$599,383. Investigation revealed that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) acting in her official capacity, personally caused this contract to be presented and approved to MTS-PTY, determined the amount of funding requested for the contract, knew the approved amount, and used this information to author a proposal for MTS-PTY for just under this amount. This conclusion is based on the following:
 - (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advocated the award of a sole source contract to MTS-PTY (See Exhibits 23, 43 – Interviews of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 43 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C))

CLASSIFICATION:

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WARNING

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

- [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authored the CPA Ministry of Interior Statement of Work requesting award of a sole-source contract to MTS-PTY and providing the requirements for the contract. Consequently, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) had first-hand knowledge of the approved funding amount of \$600,000. (See Exhibit 3 – CPA Contract SKW01A-03-C-0002; Exhibits 9, 10 – Interviews of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 42 – Contact with [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachment 6; Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachments 1-B, 2-A).
- Using her knowledge of the funding amount [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authored MTY-PTY's proposal for contract 0002 for \$599,383, which was later submitted to the CPA (See Exhibit 3 – CPA Contract 0002; Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-C; See Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachment 4).
- [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) awarded contract 0002 to MTS-PTY based on their lower pricing. Global's proposal was for \$927,400. (See Exhibit 3 – CPA Contract 0002; Exhibit 42 – Contact with [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- On June 4, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) mission ends and he, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and MTS-PTY depart Baghdad. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) returns to the United States, as does most of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) management team. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) returns to Baghdad with MTS-PTY on or about June 5, 2003. Upon her return, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) has no assigned job with the CPA but avoids leaving Iraq altogether to avoid being redeployed by the USAF to Korea. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is briefly assigned to work for [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CPA. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) spent approximately 70% of her time assisting MTS-PTY and very little time doing work for the CPA. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] both stated that [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) told them that she stayed in Iraq because she wanted to avoid a deployment to Korea. (See Exhibits 21, 38 – Interviews of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 40 – Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C))
- Beginning in approximately mid-June 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is assigned to work on budgeting issues in the Ministry of Interior, CPA. During this time, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) completes virtually no work for the Ministry of Interior and is seen spending the majority of her time on a computer in the offices of MTS-PTY (See Exhibits 9, 10 – Interviews [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibits 21 and 38 – Interviews of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 28 – Interview [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 39 – Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 40 – Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C))
- On June 5, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a document titled, "Meteoric Tactical Solutions (PTY) Limited STANDARDS OF CONDUCT," providing guidance to MTS-PTY employees concerning their appearance and behavior. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 4-A).
- On June 8, 2003 at 6:29am, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sends an email from her CPA email address to [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) titled "British Proposal." [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes, "Here is the British Proposal for the CP contract." CP stands for "close protection." Attached to the email is an MTS-PTY proposal titled "Meteoric Tactical Solutions (PYD) Limited, Proposal to the U.S. Department of Defense for Close Protection, 15 June – 31 December 2003" for \$820,625. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), an employee of DFID, advised that MTS-PTY submitted this proposal to DFID in mid-June 2003 for a contract to provide protection to the Director of DFID. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

(b) (7)(E)
August 31, 2004

MTS-PTY was awarded this contract on or about June 15, 2003. Media forensic analysis determined that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authored this proposal. (See Exhibit 45 – Interview of [REDACTED] Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachment 3; Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachments 9-G, 9-H, 9-J).

- On June 8, 2003 at 7:17am, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sends an email from her CPA email address to [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) titled "proposals." Included as an attachment to the email is a MTS-PTY proposal titled "Meteoric Tactical Solutions (PYD) Limited Proposal to U.S. Department of Defense for Close Protection and Police Training 5 June – 30 September 2003." This is the technical and cost proposal from MTS-PTY to the CPA to provide security services to the CPA, Ministry of Interior for \$599,383 (Contract 0002). (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-C; Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachment 4).
- On June 8, 2003 at 9:32am, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sends an email from her CPA email address to [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) titled "Contracts." In the email, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes, "This is a copy of the contract with your employees. You just need the contract number from DoD when it gets signed." Included as an attachment to the email is a document titled "Restricted Document Meteoric Tactical Solutions (PYD) Contract of Engagement." This document is the terms and conditions for a contractual agreement between MTS-PTY and its employees. Media Forensic Analysis determined that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authored the attachment. (See Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachment 5).
- On June 8, 2003 at 9:33am, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sends an email from her CPA email address to [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). In the email, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes, "Here is the statement of work. It can be used again and again." Included as an attachment is a document titled, "STATEMENT OF WORK.doc" which is a copy of the CPA statement of work used for the MTS-PTY proposal for contract 0002. Media forensic analysis determined that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) received a draft of this document on or about May 24, 2003. (See Exhibit 42 – Contact with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); See Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachment 6).
- On June 10, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a letter to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) requesting that the CPA pay MTS-PTY for services it provided to the CPA while not under contract. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) puts (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)" in the signature block of the letter. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) asked for her assistance in drafting the letter and personally witnessed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writing the letter. (See Exhibits 21, 38 – Interviews of [REDACTED] Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 3-B).
- On June 13, 2003, the MTS-PTY holds a Board of Directors meeting in Iraq. Minutes from this meeting were recovered from a document created by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on her CPA computer account. The document is titled, "1st Board Meeting" and includes a list of tasks assigned to employees of MTS-PTY, including (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Tasks assigned to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) include printing profiles and making contacts. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 5-A).
- On June 13, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a document containing a MTS-PTY promotional picture of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), herself and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) a proposal cover sheet and a letter of recommendation from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated June 1, 2003. The (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) recommendation letter provides an email address for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of [REDACTED]

CLASSIFICATION:**OFFICIAL USE ONLY**

WARNING

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED]. It is unknown if (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authorized or signed this document. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 3-D).

- On June 13, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a MTS-PTY company profile. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-D).
- On June 15, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a letter to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) DFID, concerning DFID's interest in contracting for services from MTS-PTY. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) "President" in the signature block of the letter. (See Exhibit 53 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 5).
- On June 17, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a letter from MTS-PTY to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated June 17, 2003, demanding payment for services provided by MTS-PTY for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) between May 19 and June 1, 2003 while MTS-PTY was not under contract. The letter requests a payment of \$44,800. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) puts (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) " in the signature block of the letter. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 7-A).
- On June 20, 2003, the MTS-PTY holds a Board of Directors meeting in Iraq. Minutes from this meeting were recovered from a document created with her CPA computer account. Attendees of the meeting are listed as "(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED]" The document is titled, "2nd Board Meeting" and includes a list of tasks to be accomplished by employees of MTS-PTY, including (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Tasks assigned to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) include "Arrange Aids tests" and "Contacts - [REDACTED] 23 Jun." (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 5-B).
- On June 23, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors an invoice for MTS-PTY to "(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] Department For International Development." This is an invoice dated July 25, 2003 for \$121,106 for MTS-PTY's contract with the Department For International Development (DFID). (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) puts (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) " in the signature block on the invoice. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-I).
- Media Forensic Analysis of computer files showed that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authored the following documents on June 25, 2003:
 - MTS-PTY invoice for \$52,372 to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) "Department for International Development" for MTS-PTY's contract with DFID. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 7-C).
 - MTS-PTY invoice for \$10,350 to "(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED], (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA" for contract 0002. This invoice is submitted to the CPA and is included in the 0002 contract file. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) puts (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) " in the signature block on the invoice. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 7-B).
- On June 26, 2003, the MTS-PTY holds a Board of Directors meeting in Iraq. Minutes from this meeting were recovered from a document created by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on her CPA computer account. Attendees of the meeting are listed as "(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED]" The

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed any way under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

document is titled, "3rd Board Meeting" and includes a list of tasks to be accomplished by employees of MTS-PTY, including (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). Tasks assigned to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) include "Meeting with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) 27 Jun" and creating proposals. One task listed for "Fesdes" [sic] states, "Paper work for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) directorship," indicating MTS PTY intends to complete paperwork to formally include (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) as a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of MTS-PTY. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 5-C).

- In late June 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) meet with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), a representative of Kroll, Inc., in Baghdad, Iraq. Kroll, Inc., is a security firm based in London, England. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) spoke with him about business opportunities between MTS-PTY and Kroll, Inc. (See Exhibit 37 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- On July 10, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sends an email from her personal email address to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), stating, "(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) I am in touch with Kroll. His name is (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)." (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-G).
- On July 15, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a letter to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stating, in part, "I just received an email from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) telling me that she had been in contact with you about work in Africa....If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me or (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)." (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-H).
- Media Forensic Analysis of computer files determined that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authored the following documents on June 26, 2003:
 - Document outlining a four-week training curriculum for close protection. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 3-C).
 - MTS-PTY letter to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CPA, thanking him for selecting MTS-PTY. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 3-E).
 - MTS-PTY invoice to Global requesting payment for \$44,800. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 7-D).
- On June 26, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes an email to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), recommending that the CPA side with MTS-PTY in a contractual dispute they have with Global. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also recommends that the CPA make a payment to MTS-PTY from funds designated for Global. (See Exhibit 4 – Email from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) et al.).
- On June 27, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) an email in response to the email above: "...I have no intention of paying the South Africans at this point in time. This is a legal matter between Global Risk Strategies and the South Africans and I believe we should leave it at that." (See Exhibit 5 – Email from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

- On June 27, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a letter on CPA letterhead for signature by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Ministry of Health, CPA. The letter indicates that eight different MTS-PTY employees, including (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) received negative AIDS tests on June 25, 2003. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 6-A).
- On June 28, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a MTS-PTY proposal to the DoD to provide security for the Director of Security Affairs, CPA valued at \$1,054,447. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 9-L).
- On June 29, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a letter describing MTS-PTY and its contracts with the CPA. The letter is an attempt to obtain medical insurance coverage for MTS-PTY personnel in Iraq. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in the signature block of the letter. It is unknown if (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authorized this letter. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 3-F).
- On June 30, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a MTS-PTY proposal to the DoD for convoy protection for Kellogg, Brown and Root dated July 7, 2003, valued at \$1,951,250. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised that she personally witnessed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) working on this proposal. (See Exhibits 9, 10 – Interviews (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-E).
- On June 30, 2003, an email is sent from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA email account to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) employee of DFID, thanking him for the opportunity to bid on a contract with DFID to provide close protection security for DFID employees. The email is attributed to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). (See Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachment 1);
 - On July 2, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA email account received a response from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) thanking (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for the proposal and attaching a list of follow-up questions regarding MTS-PTY's capabilities (See Exhibit 50 – Review of Email, Attachment 1).
- On or about July 2, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) departs Baghdad for the United States (See Exhibit 46, Sprint Cell Phone Statement for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- On July 8, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) meets with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Office of the Secretary of Defense, Office of General Counsel, Pentagon, concerning some general questions she had about her ability to take outside employment. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) told him she was considering becoming a member of a board of a new startup company. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) did not tell him she expected to be compensated or that the company would have anything to do with the CPA or the DoD. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said if (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) had told him the company would be a contractor to the DoD or any other issue that would have been a problem with respect to possible conflict of interest violations, he would handled the matter differently. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) did not provide (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) a verbal or written ruling because she did not request one or provide any specifics regarding any possible ethical issues. (See Exhibit 35 - Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

- On July 9, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a letter dated July 22, 2003, from "(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)", MTS-USA, Secretary" to [REDACTED] requesting his presence at the directors meeting of MTS-USA in September 2003. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-F).
- On July 9, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sends an email to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] to the Secretary of Defense (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Pentagon, requesting his help in getting the South Africans paid and inferring to [REDACTED] that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) just wanted OSD to authorize him to pay MTS-PTY. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes, "Bottom line is that DoD used their services and we should pay them. I think [REDACTED] [sic] is looking for someone (OSD) to tell him to make the payment...Can we fix this problem." (See Exhibit 52 – Review of Web-Based Email, Attachment 1):
 - On July 24, 2003 9:00am, [REDACTED] forwards (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) email to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), Pentagon, asking him to look into the matter.
 - Sometime on July 24, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes an email to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for funding problems why did you go straight to [REDACTED] before come to your home organization?"
 - On July 24, 2003 17:11, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED], "I was working this back in Iraq. And [REDACTED] had said [REDACTED] had it taken care of, but last week it was still not resolved. My bad [REDACTED] I saw this as a contracting problem not a funding issue. So, what do you need from me to clear this up..."
- On July 10, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for MTS-USA, sends a draft copy of certificate of incorporation for MTS-USA to Dover Delaware Incorporators, LLC, Dover Delaware (See Exhibit 44 – MTS-USA Incorporation Documents).
- On July 10, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] correspond via a lengthy email. The email is addressed to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] appends her responses to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) statements into the original email on July 12, 2003. The following excerpts from the email demonstrate (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) high level of personal and financial involvement with MTS-PTY and her attempts to persuade CPA and DoD officials to side with the company in a dispute over payment: (See Exhibit 53 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 7):
 - The following excerpts or subjects in the email relate to [REDACTED] joining MTS-PTY as a [REDACTED] and discussions involving her personal and financial stake in the company:
 - (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED]: "I'm a sitting duck since the news that you're going to join us at MTS on the 25th of June 2003, awaiting your full particulars for (1) the registration of you in person (b)(6), (b)(7)(C) [REDACTED] at the Registrar of Companies, Pretoria..."
 - (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] requests and (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] provides personal identifying information, including her name, address, occupation and account information for her personal Wells Fargo bank account.

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C): "I'll agree with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] that we're [sic] go along with 20% shares each. That were [sic] the decision and agreement between ourselves from the very first start middle March 2003, everybody have full decision powers, discuss all company matter beforehand and decide together about the outcome(s) of all discussing points. Questions arising at any meeting shall be decided by a majority of votes. I'm not familiar with the term "president." I believe it's an American company term, here in South Africa we're talking about a CEO-(Corporate Executive Officer)/MD-(Managing Director), according the South African Companies Act 1973 (Act 61 of 1973)."
- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) responds: "20% it is. The term President refers to the officers of the company. They are the guys that run the day-to-day operations of the company. Although we are all directors and share holders of the company, we also run the company. So [REDACTED] you could run the SA based company and [REDACTED] could run the US based company. It is your call guys. But I had to put officers in place for Meteoric USA."
- In response to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) statements concerning MTS monthly payments to its employees and directors, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) responds, in part: "... [REDACTED] we charge DoD \$15,000, so the company clears \$3,000 per man and on the second contract we clear \$7,500..."
- In response to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) statement, "I...don't know if the US-office at this point and time are [sic] a good idea!" (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes: "You guys need to decide if you want this US-based company...We already have two people interested in working with us. But don't make me go to all this work and then you all decide you don't want a US company. You have until Sunday to make a decision. The US company will mirror our SA one..."
- The following excerpts relate to the large amount of work [REDACTED] did for MTS-PTY while she was assigned to the CPA, including her creation of numerous MTS-PTY proposals, invoices and correspondence to the CPA, in which, in many cases, she listed [REDACTED] as "President" of MTS-PTY:
 - [REDACTED] criticizes (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for not using the proper company name on the official documentation. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) further states that all employee "contracts and other important documentation" must be signed "here at MTS office in South Africa." (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) responds, "Okay, [REDACTED] I did that in an attempt to make our proposals look official. Now I know."
 - In response to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) statement, "My only problem is the proposal to DFID signed as 'president' of MTS," (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes, "I put [REDACTED] as the President because I thought it looked better on the proposal. We should have elections for the different positions for both companies..."

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the ~~Department of Defense~~ Inspector General and is on loan to ~~your~~ agency. Contents may not be disclosed ~~any~~ party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency ~~without~~ the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

(b) (7)(E)

August 31, 2004

- o The following excerpts concern financial difficulties MTS-PTY was experiencing beginning in approximately May 2003 and illustrate (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) attempts to persuade CPA and DoD officials to side with MTS-PTY over a pay dispute:

- (b) (6), (b) (7)(C): "I wired \$10,000 or R77,000 to your bank today. This gives us some breathing room with the finances...I have energized some senior leadership to get the pay expedited...And can you verify our account received the money." With regard to energizing "senior leadership to get the pay expedited," (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is likely referring to her conversations with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and other officials in the Office of General Counsel, Office of the Secretary of Defense, Pentagon and her attempts to persuade them to side with MTS-PTY and pay them.
- In response to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) statement, "I know (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and the rest of the guys want some spending money per month..." (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes, "I would recommend we use the stateside credit card and I can bring cash to the guys when I come in August. I'll try to bring a couple thousand to spend."
- On July 11, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) wires \$10,000 from her personal bank account, Wells Fargo, N.A. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to a MTS-PTY bank account in South Africa. (See Exhibit 6 – Wells Fargo Bank Statement for Account (b) (6), (b) (7)(C))
- Media Forensic Analysis of computer files showed that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authored the following documents on June 25, 2003:
 - MTS-PTY proposal to the CPA Director of Communications valued at \$433,826. (See Exhibit 53 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1).
 - MTS-PTY proposal to MOI for \$799,350 (See Exhibit 53 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 3)
 - Letter from MTS-USA to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) presenting a proposal for "VIP Protection course and its instructors." (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) puts (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in the signature block on the letter. (See Exhibit 53 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 4).
- On July 22, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) incorporates MTS-USA in Delaware, USA (See Exhibit 44 – Receipt of Documents).
- On July 23, 2003 (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors an invoice dated July 25, 2003, from MTS-PTY to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), DFID, for \$121,106. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) "President" in the signature block. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-1).
- On July 23, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) opens a bank account for MTS-USA at Riggs Bank in Washington, D.C. (See Exhibit 47 – Documents obtained from MTS-USA).

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

- On July 23, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) sends an email to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED], writing "We have a bank account in the states." (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) provides the Riggs Bank account information and adds, "I want you to put the \$10k into this account and my payment into here too....I will keep a separate log [REDACTED] and show where this account actually paid me." (See Exhibit 53 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 9).
- On July 26, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) responds to an email from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] in which he writes, "I've sent [REDACTED] a mail that he must wire you some money as soon as he get the new payment, so you can bring me some money for the guys when you come." (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is referring to MTS-PTY making a payment to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) once they are paid by the DoD in their pay dispute with Global, which (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is attempting to resolve for them. In her response, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes, "If I can get ahold of the cash and a care package, I will forward that too." (See Exhibit 52 – Review of Web-Based Email, Attachment 2).
- On July 28, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) responds to an email from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] in which he writes, "I spoke to that shit [REDACTED] [sic] and even [sic] though Global said that he can pay us and deduct it from their payment he is still reluctant [sic] to pay us." In her response, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes, "Okay, I will try and bring some cash for you all...We need to have a directors meeting when I get there. I have to record the minutes that you all approved the corporation." (See Exhibit 52 – Review of Web-Based Email, Attachment 3).
- On July 28, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) meets with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Office of General Counsel, Office of the Secretary of Defense. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) attempts to convince (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that MTS-PTY should be paid for services they provided to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) while not under contract (See Exhibit 30 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- On July 28, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes an email to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) : "I went to the OSD lawyer today and talked about the payment for the May work. Don't visit with [REDACTED] anymore. They will find another way to pay you. We will try and have this fixed by Friday. We need to talk about another contract for security guards." (See Exhibit 52 – Review of Web-Based Email, Attachment 4).
- On July 29, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors an undated MTS-PTY proposal to the CPA Ministry of Justice valued at \$165,150. (See Exhibit 51 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 1-J).
- On July 29, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) writes an email to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] : "Gentlemen, Here is an invoice from me on the monies contributed to MTS. Can I please get reimbursed for my expenses? We should be okay after the 1 Aug payment." (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) attaches the file "invoice [REDACTED].doc" to the email, which includes an invoice from her to MTS-PTY for \$12,000. (See Exhibit 52 – Review of Web-Based Email, Attachment 5).
 - In early August 2003, an MTS-PTY employee divulges that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of MTS-PTY and will be paid \$12,000 a month salary. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is told this by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), an employee of MTS-PTY. (See Exhibit 23 – Interview (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

- On July 31, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors an "introduction" letter from MTS-USA, Vienna, VA, addressed to all CPA ministries soliciting work. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) puts "(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)" in the signature block.
- On July 31, 2003 and August 1, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is asked about the payment issue via between MTS-PTY and Global via email by [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), DoD Office of General Counsel, Pentagon. (See Exhibit 20 – Receipt of Documents).
- On August 1, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) has a scheduled meeting with [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Defense Contract Management Agency, concerning the payment issue involving MTS-PTY and Global. During this meeting [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) went to [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) office at CPA-Rear, Pentagon. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) recommended to [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that the U.S. Government pay MTS-PTY. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) reportedly told [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that when MTS-PTY's contract with Global expired, she [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) had attempted but was unable to persuade the Contracting Officer to renew a U.S. government contract with MTS-PTY. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also told [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that she had directed MTS-PTY to continue providing close protection security to [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) without a contract. (See Exhibit 26 – Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 30 – Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C))
- On August 2, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) returns to Baghdad, Iraq. (See Exhibit 13 – Receipt of Information; Exhibit 26 – Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 30 – Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 40 – Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C))
- On August 3, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) tells [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is very upset with [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) because MTS-PTY still had not been reimbursed for its services. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also tells [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that MTS-PTY has appointed [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and is being paid \$12,000 a month. (See Exhibit 23 – Interview [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C))
- On August 3, 2003, on her way back to Iraq, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) approaches Special Agents [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) U.S. Army Criminal Investigative Command, at the airport in Frankfurt, Germany. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) are part of an Army unit that conducts close protection security missions for various individuals assigned to the CPA. During their conversation, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) informed them that she was returning to Baghdad for two weeks at the request of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), CPA. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) asked them about points of contact for individuals needing close protection security. [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was attempting to obtain additional contracts for MTS-PTY. (See Exhibit 13 – Receipt of Information).
- On August 3, 2003, [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) authors a MTS-PTY proposal to DHL valued at \$12,000. (See Exhibit 53 – Review of Computer Files, Attachment 2).
- On August 3, 2003, DCIS opens its investigation and interviews [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). DCIS schedules interviews of all MTS-PTY employees in Iraq, disclosing the nature of the criminal investigation involving [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and other employees of MTS-PTY. (See Exhibit 8, DCIS Case Initiation).

CLASSIFICATION:

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WARNING

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

- On August 4, 2003, while on the shuttle bus to the airport, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) again approaches (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and asks them for names of contractors who need close protection security so MTS-PTY could provide security for them. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) informed her that plenty of contractors around the CPA would hire them, but (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised them that the South Africans wanted to do their own bidding. Benfield recalled that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) referred to the South Africans as "my boys." (See Exhibit 13 – Receipt of Information).
- On August 4, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) arrives in Baghdad. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) brings official company papers for MTS-PTY employees to sign regarding MTS-USA (See Exhibit 24 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- Between August 4 and 13, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stay in the same hotel room at the Al-Rasheed Hotel, Baghdad, Iraq. (See Exhibit 24 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Exhibit 31 – Receipt of Letters).
- On August 6, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) gives \$1,300 in cash she brought from the U.S. to MTS-PTY in Baghdad, Iraq. On April 1, 2004, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) provided \$1,300 in cash to MTS-PTY for their operating expenses when she arrived in Baghdad. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also provided under subpoena a Bank of America bank statement showing the account used by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to obtain the cash. Investigation determined that this account was (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) official Government Travel Card account and she used the card on three occasions between July 23 and August 2, 2003 to obtain a total of \$1,304. (See Exhibit 53 – Bank of America Government Travel Card Statement).
- On July 4, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) places 38 cell phone calls to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) cell phone in Baghdad, Iraq (See Exhibit 46 – Sprint Cell phone statements for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- In August 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that during her (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) first deployment to Baghdad, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (See Exhibit 38 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- On August 13, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) leaves Baghdad and returns to the United States. (See Exhibit 24 - Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).
- From the time she leaves Iraq for the last time on August 13, 2004, to September 4, 2003, the day (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) is arrested and deported from Iraq pursuant to this investigation, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) places 34 cell phone calls to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) cell phone in Baghdad, Iraq.
- On August 22, 2003, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advises DCIS that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) had directed all MTS-PTY employees to lie to investigators when they were first interviewed by DCIS on August 9, 2003, and deny that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was involved at all in MTS-PTY. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) physically intimidated him and other MTS-PTY employees, threatening them with bodily harm and death if they told investigators the truth. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said he and the other directors were concerned over ethical issues when (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) began trying to sell the idea of her becoming part of MTS-PTY in June 2003. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) told the directors that ethics attorneys had approved her involvement with MTS, so (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said the Directors reluctantly agreed to bring her into the company. (See Exhibit 24 – Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)).

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

- On September 23, 2003, MTS-PTY wires \$15,970 to a MTS-USA bank account at Riggs Bank, following up on (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) July 23, 2003 email to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) telling him to "put the \$10k into this account and my payment into here too....I will keep a separate log (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and show where this account actually paid me." (See Exhibit 47 – Documents Obtained From MTS-USA).

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

~~this document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

IDENTITY OF SUBJECTSIDENTIFYING DATA

Name

Per DoD OIG (b)(6), (b)(7)(C)

Alias

Social Security Number

Date/Place of Birth

Race

Sex

Height

Weight

Hair

Eyes

Residence

Employment/Occupation

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) U.S. Air Force

SAF/IG

Bolling Air Force Base

Washington, DC

Driver's License Number
and Issuing State

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Education

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

C-1

August 31, 2004

LAW ENFORCEMENT RECORDS

The files of the Defense Clearance and Investigations Index (DCII) were queried for records of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED]. A check revealed multiple background investigation records for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED] which have been obtained. A National Crime Information Center (NCIC) query revealed no criminal record for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) [REDACTED].

D-1

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~MAchine~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

EVIDENCEA. Subject

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) violations of 18 USC 208 (Acts affecting a personal financial interest).

B. Documents and Records

During the course of this investigation, documents have been obtained demonstrating (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) involvement in acts affecting a personal financial interest. These documents include official CPA and DoD documents, contracts, correspondence and email stored on government computers, MTS-PTY company documents, files, correspondence and email stored on government computers, State records, and bank and cellphone records.

C. Witnesses

1. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) U.S. Army

Coalition Provisional Authority

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) continuous lobbying for CPA and DoD payment to MTS-PTY involving a contract dispute; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) involvement in creating and submitting a request to the CPA for award of a sole source contract to MTS-PTY for \$600,000; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) knowledge of the approval of and funding amount for contract 0002; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) behavior and attempts to influence a decision to award new contracts to MTS-PTY; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) statement that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was being paid \$12,000 a month as a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of MTS-PTY.

2. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) U.S. Army

Coalition Provisional Authority

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) personally witnessed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) working on one or more MTS-PTY proposals. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was told by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) an employee of MTS-PTY, that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and MTS-PTY had agreed that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) would be paid a salary of \$12,000 a month as a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) of MTS-PTY.

3. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) U.S. Army

Coalition Provisional Authority

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that she had stayed in Iraq to avoid being deployed to Korea by the U.S. Air Force by the U.S. Air Force. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) spent virtually all of her time in the offices of MTS-PTY and that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was constantly assisting MTS-PTY employees with MTS-PTY paperwork.

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

4. Special Agent (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Special Agent (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command
Baghdad, Iraq
Coalition Provisional Authority

Special Agent (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) approached them on two occasions, referred to MTS-PTY employees as "my boys," and attempted to solicit points of contact and potential customers for MTS-PTY from them.

5. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Coalition Provisional Authority

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) never requested or received any ethical advice from his Office.

6. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Coalition Provisional Authority

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) told her that she was returning to Iraq because (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can also testify that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) spent the majority of her time in the offices of MTS-PTY while assigned to the CPA, and personally witnessed (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) type a letter for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) that was later submitted to the CPA concerning MTS-PTY's payment dispute with Global.

7. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), MTS-PTY
South African national

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that MTS-PTY (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) agreed to hire (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in June 2003 and pay her a salary of \$12,000 a month. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stated that the idea to bring (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) into the company was advocated by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can also testify that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) threatened other MTS-PTY (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) with bodily harm and/or death if they did not lie to investigators concerning (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) involvement with MTS-PTY when they were first interviewed in August 2003. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) also has personal knowledge that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) stayed in the same hotel together room as (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) between August 4 and August 13, 2003.

8. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) employee, MTS-PTY
South African national

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that MTS-PTY agreed to hire (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) and pay her a salary of \$12,000 a month.

9. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), MTS-PTY
South African national

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that he was threatened with bodily harm by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) if he did not lie

CLASSIFICATION:

OFFICIAL USE ONLY

WARNING

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

to DCIS investigators concerning (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) involvement with MTS-PTY. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify concerning (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) involvement with MTS-PTY and participation as a (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify concerning love letters he discovered and turned over to DCIS from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

10. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Defense Contract Management Agency
Alexandria, Virginia

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Defense Contract Management Agency
Alexandria, Virginia

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Office of General Counsel

Office of the Secretary of Defense
Pentagon

These three witnesses can provide information concerning (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) attempts to have the DoD pay MTS-PTY for work under dispute. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) bypassed the Contracting Officer in Baghdad, Iraq and attempted to use her official position to persuade higher officials such as these to advocate her position for MTS-PTY. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify concerning (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) departure from the United States to return to Baghdad on or about August 1, 2003.

11. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) to the Ministry of Interior
Coalition Provisional Authority

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that he was not personally responsible for advocating award of a CPA contract to provide for his protection. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can also testify that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was assigned to his office in June 2003, but was rarely seen and usually spent her time with MTS-PTY.

12. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Office of General Counsel
Office of the Secretary of Defense
Pentagon

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that he met with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on July 8, 2003 and she solicited general advice about her ability to become aboard member of an unidentified company while employed as an officer of the U.S. military. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can also testify that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) did not mention anything about receiving payment from the unidentified company or that it would be a contractor to the CPA, DoD or any governmental entity.

13. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
Kroll, Inc.
London, England

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that he participated in a meeting in Iraq in late June 2003 with

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in which they were attempting to solicit business from his company on behalf of MTS-PTY.

14. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Ministry of Interior
Coalition Provisional Authority

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that he did not author the internal CPA request for a contract to be issued by the CPA to MTS-PTY which is attributed to him by name. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify that he saw (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) in the Ministry of Interior approximately two times during the period she was assigned there, and that she spent the majority of her time with employees of MTS-PTY.

15. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Office of Reconstruction and Humanitarian Affairs

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify concerning (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) assigned duties as (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), his participation in the May 24, 2003 RRB meeting and dates of arrival and departure for ORHA personnel, including (b) (6), (b) (7)(C).

16. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Coalition Provisional Authority

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) can testify concerning (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) selection as (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) by (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); his participation in the May 24, 2003 RRB meeting; dates of arrival and departure for ORGA personnel, including (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) attempts to get him to assist her with immigration matters for MTS-PTY employees.

17. Special Agent (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
DCIS
Mid-Atlantic Field Office
Arlington, VA

Special Agent performed all media forensics analysis on all computer media analyzed during this investigation.

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~

~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

STATUS OF INVESTIGATION

This investigation is being actively pursued by the U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria, Virginia, and the Staff Judge Advocate, U.S. Air Force, 11th Wing, Bolling Air Force Base, Washington, D.C.

PROSECUTIVE CONSIDERATIONS

There are no prosecutive considerations to date.

F-1

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

EXHIBITS

<u>EXHIBIT NUMBER</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>
1	CPA \$600,000 contract funding and approval request, including Statement of Work circa May 24, 2003
2	DCIS Form 1; May 24, 2003 Requirements Review Board Meeting Minutes dated May 24, 2003
3	CPA Contract SKW01A-03-C-0002 dated June 3, 2003
4	Email from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated June 26, 2003
5	Email from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated June 27, 2003
6	Wells Fargo Bank Statement for Account (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) for July 23, 2003 through August 21, 2003
7	DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 3, 2003
8	DCIS Form 1; Case Initiation dated August 4, 2003.
9	DCIS Form 1; Interview (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 4, 2003
10	DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 4, 2003
11	DCIS Form 1; Seizure of MTS-PTY computers dated August 4, 2003
12	DCIS Form 1; Seizure of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) computer dated August 5, 2003
13	DCIS Form 1; Receipt of Information from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 7, 2003
14	DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 7, 2003
15	DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 9, 2003
16	DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 9, 2003
17	DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 9, 2003
18	DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 9, 2003

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

~~This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.~~

August 31, 2004

19 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 9, 2003

20 Receipt of Documents from (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 11, 2003

21 DCIS Form 1; Interview (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 12, 2003

22 DCIS Form 1; Interview (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 15, 2003

23 DCIS Form 1; Interview (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 20, 2003

24 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 23, 2003

25 DCIS Form 1; 1st Interim Media Analysis Report dated August 28, 2003 (w/o attachments)

26 DCIS Form 1; Interview (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 29, 2003

27 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 29, 2003

28 DCIS Form 1; Interview (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated September 1, 2003

29 DCIS Form 1; Significant Incident Report: Arrest and Deportation of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated September 5, 2003

30 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated September 5, 2003

31 DCIS Form 1; Receipt of Documents (letters) dated September 17, 2003

32 DCIS Form 1; Receipt of Documents from State of Delaware dated October 10, 2003

33 DCIS Form 1; Telephonic Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated January 24, 2004 (w/o attachments)

34 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated January 28, 2004

35 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated March 18, 2004

36 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated March 25, 2004 (w/o attachments)

37 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated July 19, 2004

38 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated July 22, 2004

39 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated July 23, 2004

40 DCIS Form 1; Interview of (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated July 27, 2004

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.

August 31, 2004

41 DCIS Form 1; Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 3, 2004

42 DCIS Form 1; Contact with [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 4, 2004

43 DCIS Form 1; Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 5, 2004

44 MTS-USA Incorporation documents from Dover Delaware Incorporators dated February 24, 2004

45 DCIS Form 1; Interview of [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated July 19, 2004

46 Sprint Cell phone statements for [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) received July 21, 2004

47 Documents obtained from MTS-USA dated March 15, 2004

48 DCIS Form 1; Interview [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) dated August 29, 2003

49 Bank of America Government Travel Card Statement for Account #4486120019060640 (August 2003)

50 DCIS Form 1; Review of Email located in [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA account dated August 26, 2004

51 DCIS Form 1; Review of Computer Files from [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA laptop (STRYDOMR directory) dated August 25, 2004

52 DCIS Form 1; Review of Web-Based Email from [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA laptop dated August 27, 2004

53 DCIS Form 1; Review of Computer Files from [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) CPA laptop (LYONO directory) dated August 27, 2004

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Prepared by: SA [REDACTED] (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), Mid-Atlantic FO

APPR [REDACTED]

~~CLASSIFICATION:~~~~OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~~~WARNING~~

This document is the property of the Department of Defense Inspector General and is on loan to your agency. Contents may not be disclosed to any party under investigation nor may this document be distributed outside the receiving agency without the specific prior authorization of the Assistant Inspector General for Investigations.